UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,322	02/25/2004	Daniel M. Lafontaine	1001.2560102	2641
	7590 07/13/201 SEAGER & TUFTE, L	EXAMINER		
1221 NICOLLE		GIBSON, ROY DEAN		
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55403-2420		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/786,322	LAFONTAINE, DANIEL M.		
	Art Unit		
Roy D. Gibson	3739		

Part of Paper No. 20100706

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Rev	iew filed 25 June	e 2010.				
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Regression (s):</li> </ol>	Request is improper an	d a conference	will not be held for the following				
☐ The Notice of Appeal has☐ The request does not incl☐ A proposed amendment if☐ Other:	ude reasons why a rev	iew is appropria	ate.				
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.							
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.							
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 43, 44, 46 Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	6 <u>, 49 and 52</u> .	im(s) is as follov	vs:				
3. ☐ Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosect applicant at this time.							
4. ☐ <b>Reopen Prosecution</b> – A capacition will be mailed. No further a							
All participants:							
(1) Roy D. Gibson.		(3) <i>Nathan New</i>	house.				
(2) <u>Linda Dvorak</u> .		(4)					
/Roy D. Gibson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3739	/Linda C Dvorak/ Supervisory Patent E Unit 3739	xaminer, Art	/Nathan J. Newhouse/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3782				